REPORT OF THE AUDITOR-GENERAL ON KISII UNIVERSITY FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2018

REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Qualified Opinion

I have audited the accompanying financial statements of Kisii University set out on pages 1 to 26, which comprise the statement of financial position as at 30 June 2018, and the statement of financial performance, statement of changes in net assets, statement of cash flows and statements of comparison of budget and actual amounts - recurrent budget and development capital budget for the year then ended, and a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information in accordance with the provisions of Article 229 of the Constitution of Kenya and Section 35 of the Public Audit Act, 2015. I have obtained all the information and explanations which, to the best of my knowledge and belief, were necessary for the purpose of the audit.

In my opinion, except for the effects discussed in the Basis for Qualified Opinion section of my report, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Kisii University as at 30 June 2018, and of its financial performance and its cash flows for the year then ended, in accordance with International Public Sector Accounting Standards (Accrual Basis) and comply with the Public Finance Management Act,2012.

Basis for Qualified Opinion

1. Trade and Other Payables from Exchange Transactions

1.1. Part Time Lecturers

The trade and other payables from exchange transactions balance of Kshs.430,786,000 as disclosed in Note 18 to the financial statements includes accrued part time expenses of Kshs.151,526,000 due to part time lecturers. According to information available the amount accrued in the year 2014. However, it was not possible to ascertain the validity of the pending claims because there was no documentary evidence showing that the services for which the payments were claimed had been rendered. Consequently, the validity and accuracy of the accrued part time expenses of Kshs.151,526,000 could not be confirmed.

Further, during the year under review the University spent a total of Kshs.230,217,000 on part time expenses against a budget of Kshs.99,000,000 as approved in the University's budget for the financial year 2017/2018, leading to an over expenditure of Kshs.131,217,000. No satisfactory explanation was given by the management for the over expenditure.

The audit was conducted in accordance with International Standards of Supreme Audit Institutions (ISSAIs). I am independent of Kisii University in accordance with ISSAI 30 on Code of Ethics. I have fulfilled other ethical responsibilities in accordance with the ISSAI and in accordance with other ethical requirements applicable to

performing audits of financial statements in Kenya. I believe that the audit evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my qualified opinion.

Key Audit Matters

Key audit matters are those matters that, in my professional judgment, are of most significance in the audit of the financial statements. Except for the matters described in the Basis for Qualified Opinion and Basis for Conclusion on Lawfulness and Effectiveness in Use of Public Resources sections, I have determined that there are no key audit matters to communicate in my report.

REPORT ON LAWFULNESS AND EFFECTIVENESS IN USE OF PUBLIC RESOURCES

Conclusion

As required by Article 229(6) of the Constitution, based on the audit procedures performed, except for the matters described in the Basis for Qualified Opinion and Basis for Conclusion on Lawfulness and Effectiveness in Use of Public Resources sections of my report, I confirm that, nothing else has come to my attention to cause me to believe that public resources have not been applied lawfully and in an effective way.

Basis for Conclusion

1. Procurement of Internet Services

During the year under review, the University awarded a contract to Kenya Education Network Trust for supply of internet services and the organization was paid Kshs.1,610,200 for the services rendered in January, February and March 2018 and Kshs.1,335,600 for the months of October to December 2018. However, the organization was not in the list of prequalified suppliers for the year 2017/2018. The management, therefore, in awarding the contract to the organization breached Section 93(2) of the Public Procurement and Disposal Act, 2015 which, states that, "an accounting officer of a procuring entity where applicable, may conduct a prequalification procedure as a basic procedure prior to adopting an alternative procurement method other than open tender for the purpose of identifying the best few qualified firms for the subject procurement."

2. Over Expenditure on Marketing and Exhibitions

The University's budget estimates for 2017/2018 included an amount of Kshs.12,000,000 for marketing and exhibitions. The University, however, incurred a total expenditure of Kshs.28,216,807.17 on marketing and exhibitions leading to unexplained over expenditure of Kshs.16,216, 807.17 in the year under review.

3. External Painting Works of Kapsoya Building - Eldoret Campus

The University awarded a contract for provision of external painting works of the Kapsoya Building at Eldoret Campus to a contractor at a sum of Kshs.6,488,500. The contract was signed on 22 December 2017 and one of the clauses in the contract

document stated that a fifty percent payment of the contract sum was to be made upon signing of the contract for mobilization of equipment and materials. This clause contravened Section 147(1) of the Public Procurement and Disposal Act, 2015 which states that, "under exceptional circumstances advance payment may be granted and shall not exceed twenty percent of the price of the tender".

4. Staff Costs

The total expenditure on employees` costs amounted to Kshs.1,957,781,000 as disclosed under Note 6 to the financial statements compared to the total revenue of Kshs.2,922,969,000 in the year under review. Therefore, the expenditure on compensation of employees (salaries, benefits and allowances) during the year constituted 67% of the total revenue. This is contrary to the spirit of regulation 26(1)(a) of the Public Finance Management (National Government) Regulations, 2015 that recommends a maximum of 35%. The management did not provide satisfactory explanation for this irregularity.

5. Ethnic Composition

An examination of personnel records indicated that the University had hired fifty-two new employees in the year under review. It is apparent from the analysis that one dominant community comprises the staff hired during the year. This is contrary to Section 7(1) and (2) of the National Cohesion and Integration Act, 2008 which state that, "all public establishment shall seek to represent the diversity of the people of Kenya in employment of staff and, that no public institution shall have more than one third of its staff establishment from the same ethnic community".

The audit was conducted in accordance with ISSAI 4000. The standard requires that I comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audit to obtain assurance about whether the activities, financial transactions and information reflected in the financial statements are in compliance, in all material respects, with the authorities that govern them. I believe that the audit evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my conclusion.

REPORT ON EFFECTIVENESS OF INTERNAL CONTROLS, RISK MANAGEMENT AND GOVERNANCE

Conclusion

As required by Section 7(1) (a) of the Public Audit Act, 2015, based on the audit procedures performed, except for the matters described in the Basis for Qualified Opinion and Basis for Conclusion on Lawfulness and Effectiveness in Use of Public Resources sections of my report, I confirm that, nothing else has come to my attention to cause me to believe that internal controls, risk management and governance were not effective.

Basis for Conclusion

The audit was conducted in accordance with ISSAI 1315 and ISSAI 1330. The standards require that I plan and perform the audit to obtain assurance about whether

Report of the Auditor-General on the Financial Statements of Kisii University for the Year Ended 30 June 2018

processes and systems of internal control, risk management and governance were operating effectively, in all material respects. I believe that the audit evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my conclusion.

Responsibilities of Management and Those Charged with Governance

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with International Public Sector Accounting Standards (Accrual Basis) and for maintaining effective internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control, risk management and governance.

In preparing the financial statements, management is responsible for assessing the ability of Kisii University to sustain services, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to sustainability of services and using the applicable basis of accounting unless the management either intends to cease operations, or have no realistic alternative but to do so.

Management is also responsible for the submission of the financial statements to the Auditor-General in accordance with the provisions of Section 47 of the Public Audit Act, 2015.

In addition to the responsibility for the preparation and presentation of the financial statements described above, management is also responsible for ensuring that the activities, financial transactions and information reflected in the financial statements are in compliance with the authorities which govern them, and that public resources are applied in an effective way.

Those charged with governance are responsible for overseeing the financial reporting process, reviewing the effectiveness of how the entity monitors compliance with relevant legislative and regulatory requirements, ensuring that effective processes and systems are in place to address key roles and responsibilities in relation to governance and risk management, and ensuring the adequacy and effectiveness of the control environment.

Auditor-General's Responsibilities for the Audit

The audit objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes my opinion in accordance with the provisions of Section 48 of the Public Audit Act, 2015 and submit the audit report in compliance with Article 229(7) of the Constitution. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with ISSAIs will always detect a material misstatement and weakness when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

In addition to the audit of the financial statements, a compliance audit is planned and performed to express a conclusion about whether, in all material respects, the activities, financial transactions and information reflected in the financial statements are in compliance with the authorities that govern them and that public resources are applied in an effective way, in accordance with the provisions of Article 229(6) of the Constitution and submit the audit report in compliance with Article 229(7) of the Constitution.

Further, in planning and performing the audit of the financial statements and audit of compliance, I consider internal control in order to give an assurance on the effectiveness of internal controls, risk management and governance processes and systems in accordance with the provisions of Section 7 (1) (a) of the Public Audit Act, 2015 and submit the audit report in compliance with Article 229(7) of the Constitution. My consideration of the internal control would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses under the ISSAIs. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control may not prevent or detect misstatements and instances of non-compliance. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate.

As part of an audit conducted in accordance with ISSAIs, I exercise professional judgement and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit. I also:

- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by the management.
- Conclude on the appropriateness of the management's use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the ability of Kisii University to sustain its services. If I conclude that a material uncertainty exists, I am required to draw attention in the auditor's report to the related disclosures in the financial statements or, if such disclosures are

inadequate, to modify my opinion. My conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of my audit report. However, future events or conditions may cause Kisii University to cease sustaining its services.

- Evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.
- Obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the financial information and business activities of Kisii University to express an opinion on the financial statements.
- Perform such other procedures as I consider necessary in the circumstances.

I communicate with the management regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that are identified during the audit.

I also provide management with a statement that I have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence, and to communicate with them all relationships and other matters that may reasonably be thought to bear on my independence, and where applicable, related safeguards.

FCPA Edward R. O. Ouko, CBS AUDITOR-GENERAL

Nairobi

27 February 2019